

**JOHN KENNETH AMICK**  
980 NW 49<sup>th</sup> WAY  
COCONUT CREEK, FL 33063  
(561) 226-2000 (work)  
(954) 975-3784 (home)  
Email: kenamick@earthlink.net



December 24, 2003

Mr. Tuan A. Vu  
United States Department of Commerce  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

Re: Application No. 09/556,439  
Filing Date 04/24/2000  
First Named Inventor: John Kenneth Amick  
Attorney Docket No. 8006-0019-13  
Confirmation No. 7593  
Art Unit 2124  
Paper Number 5  
Date Mailed 07/31/2003

**RECEIVED**  
DEC 31 2003  
Technology Center 2100

Dear Mr. Vu:

Enclosed is a clean copy of the amended patent application, a revision marked copy of which is submitted contemporaneously herewith.

If you need additional information, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

John Kenneth Amick

JKA:mk  
Encs.



12-29-03

2124


**JOHN KENNETH AMICK**  
980 NW 49<sup>th</sup> WAY  
COCONUT CREEK, FL 33063  
(561) 226-2000 (work)  
(954) 975-3784 (home)  
Email: kenamick@earthlink.net

*Handwritten notes:*  
J. Amick  
2/2/03  
J. Amick  
1-7-04

**RECEIVED**

DEC 31 2003

Technology Center 2100



December 24, 2003

Mr. Tuan A. Vu  
United States Department of Commerce  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

Re: Application No. 09/556,439  
Filing Date 04/24/2000  
First Named Inventor: John Kenneth Amick  
Attorney Docket No. 8006-0019-13  
Confirmation No. 7593  
Art Unit 2124  
Paper Number 5  
Date Mailed 07/31/2003

Dear Mr. Vu:

Over three years have passed since I initially submitted this patent application and much of the technology has changed since then. Hopefully, the enclosed updated and amended version of this patent application will address the items disclosed in the Detailed Action ("DA") you sent me but I feel it best to respond to them in a letter as well.

Paragraph 1 of the DA states that "Claims 1-31 have been submitted for examination. In fact, there were 21 claims in the original patent application and not 31.

I understand the quotation of the first paragraph from 35 U.S.C. 112 to mean that you were not able to identify the CALL PULL-BACK technology. At the time of the original application for the within patent, the CALL PULL-BACK technology patent had not yet been issued. The CALL PULL-BACK technology described in this patent application, both as originally presented and as amended herewith, is the technology that is disclosed in the issued U.S. patent, Serial No. 6,088,437, dated July 11, 2000. A copy of this patent is included in the appendix.